PROPOSED 2018 STATE VALUATION

Property Tax Division





Municipality	Stoneham		County	Oxford
	2016	2017	2018	
. State Valuation	74,200,000	74,350,000	75,250,000	
. Amount of Change	(300,000)	150,000	900,000	
3. Percent of Change	-0.40%	0.20%	1.21%	
. Eff. Full Value Rate (line 6d/1)	0.00865	0.00915	0.00926	
b. Local Mill Rate 14-15-16	0.0078	0.00838	0.00856	
a. Commitment	637,903	676,366	691,313	
2014-15-16 bb. Homestead Reimbursement	3,627	3,729	5,640	
Sc. BETE Reimbursement				
6d. Total (6a, 6b & 6c)	641,530	680,095	696,953	
6e. % change from prior year (6d.)	14.37%	6.01%	2.48%	
	2015	2016		
A. Municipal Valuation	80,711,901	80,760,901		
Net Supplements / Abatements	(990)	(5,060)	Amount of Change	Percent of Change
Homestead (Exempt Valuation)	445,000	658,917	_	
BETE (Exempt Valuation)			_	
Adjusted Municipal Valuation	81,155.911	81,414,758	258,847	0.32%
B. Sales Information Sales Period Used	07/14 - 06/15	07/15 - 06/16	Combined Sales Ratio	104%
State Valuation # of Sales # of Appraisals	2017 12 3	2018 16	_	
Residential Study		4050/	Percent of Change	
Weighted Average Average Ratio Assessment Rating	104% 105% 11	105% 104% 17	-0.95%	
Waterfront Study Weighted Average Average Ratio Assessment Rating				
Condominium Study Weighted Average Average Ratio Assessment Rating				
Certified Ratio	100%	100%		PTF303 (Rev 11/1

STATE VALUATION ANALYSIS

					A RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE	
Municipality	Stoneha	m			County	Oxford
Municipal Valuation - 2016	100%		Declared Certified Ratio		20	018 State Valuation
LAND				Ratio	Source	
LAND						010.005
Electrical Utilities (Trans & Dist.)			812,995	100%	Declaration Value State Rates	812,995 970,823
Classified Tree Growth	3,230	ac	970,820	100%	State Hates	370,020
Classified Farm Land		ac				
Classified Farm Woodland		ac	72,500	100%	Cert Ratio	72,500
Classified Open Space	250	ac	72,500	10076	Continuio	
Classified Working Waterfront		ac	77,763	100%	Cert Ratio	77,763
Commercial Lots			367,640	250%	Market Analysis	147,056
Evergreen Valley			15,077,354	104%	Combined Study	14,497,456
Residential Lots Waterfront & Water Influenced Lots			20,476,305	104%	Combined Study	19,688,754
Condominium Lots		_	26,262	104%	Combined Study	25,252
Working Forest Roads		ac				
Waste Acres		ac				
# Undeveloped Acres	4,467	ac	0.700.500	847/ Mun Avg	790/ac SR	3,528,860
			41,665,139		TOTAL LAND	39,821,459
BUILDINGS						
	# accts		004.044	100%	Cert Ratio	691,214
Commercial	3		691,214 2,398,844	250%	Market Analysis	959,538
Evergreen Valley	4		22,519,320	104%	Combined Study	21,653,193
Residential	447		10,804,068	104%	Combined Study	10,388,527
Waterfront & Water Influenced	117			250%	Market Analysis	1,040,837
Condominiums			2,602,092	20070		
		-	39,015,538		TOTAL BUILDINGS	34,733,309
PERSONAL PROPERTY	# accts					
Commercial	# doors		80,224	100%	Cert Ratio	80,224
Industrial		_				
Other		-				
		-				90.224
			80,224		TOTAL PERSONAL	80,224
TOTALS]		80,760,901			74,634,992
Adjustments (Net Abates/S	upp)		(5,060)	104%	Combined Study	(4,865
Adjustments (Comm., Ind. & Homestead (Exempt Valu	ation)		658,917	104%	Combined Study	633,57
BETE (Exempt Valuation)						75,263,70
ADJUSTED TOTAL			81,414,758			15,265,70
TIF ADJUSTMENTS		TIF	Development Program F	und		
THE RESERVE AND A TIP	7					75,263,70
NET w/ ADJUSTMENTS & TIF	_					75,250,000

STATE OF MAINE Sales Ratio Analysis -2018 State Valuation Oxford County: Stoneham Municipality: COMBINED STUDY 2 Year -2,213,500 2,320,767 105% Weighted Avg. = 12.48 12 104% Average Ratio = 16 285 Avg. Deviation = 18 104% 18 17 Quality Rating = 2016 \$138,344 Average Selling Price = Assessed Ratio Dev. Selling Page Мар Lot Book Class Date of Sale Item Price Value Month Year No. 29 137,500 103,411 0.75 Douglas Pardo 22 652 74 R07 R 12 2016 25 155,000 122,212 0.79 Carol Speer 4 635 253 U11 7 2015 R 2 25 0.79 75,000 59,197 Stephen West 8 542 R02 648 R 8 2016 3 23 0.81 257,500 208,501 William Ginder 10 633 72 U11 4 R 4 2015 0.84 20 196,500 165,885 Robert Gries R02 10 625 994 5 R 8 2014 0.99 5 142,000 140,898 George Weise U03 6 661 625 2017 6 R 9 2 1.02 J & A's Holdings 60,000 60,954 543 U03 7 2014 630 7 R 12 1.04 David Fifield 175,000 181,637 2 502 U08 2017 661 9 8 W 1.05 1 Benjamin Rich 65,000 68,274 3 942 U13 2015 630 1 9 W 3 1.07 Elisabeth Tyrol 195,000 207,936 5 355 U10 7 2016 647 10 W 7 1.11 26,000 28,944 **Daniel Downs** 266 R07 14 2016 650 10 11 R 10 1.14 129,000 147,688 7 Kristin Higgs 501 R04 2016 644 12 R 4

Mark Diamond

Raymond Stuchbury

Maria Ouwinga

Thomas Verrill

548 U03

919 U10

645 U07

315 U08

2014

2015

2015

2016

12

8

5

2

13

14

15

16

W

W

W

W

630

636

633

642

8

15

15

15

25

29

38

43

1.29

1.33

1.42

1.47

194,240

239,642

170,497

220,851

150,000

180,000

120,000

150,000

Business Equipment Tax Exemption Audit

Municipal Valuation - 2016 Municipal Valuation - 2016 Yes No Comment(s) Are application(s) available for inspection? O of 0 Are application(s) signed for/approved by the assessor? Is the equipment date(s) of purchase and/or date(s) put in service meet BETE parameters? Is the item description sufficient to reasonably determine eligibility under program guidelines? Does the property quality for BETE? Are municipal depreciation schedules evident and uniformly employed? Is all BETE value incorporated in the tax commitment book, MVR and Tax Rate Calculation Form (including enhanced reimbursement forms when applicable)? Additional Comments:	Municipality: Stoneham			Date: 06/26/20	017	
Are application(s) available for inspection? O of 0 Are application(s) signed for/approved by the assessor? Do the equipment date(s) of purchase and/or date(s) put in service meet BETE parameters? Is the item description sufficient to reasonably determine eligibility under program guidelines? Does the property qualify for BETE? Are municipal depreciation schedules evident and uniformly employed? Is all BETE value incorporated in the tax commitment book, MVR and Tax Rate Calculation Form (including enhanced reimbursement forms when applicable)? Is all qualified property adjusted by the municipal assessment ratio?		Municipal Official(s)	Que dan Hami	lin Clerk		
Are application(s) signed for/approved by the assessor? Do the equipment date(s) of purchase and/or date(s) put in service meet BETE parameters? Is the item description sufficient to reasonably determine eligibility under program guidelines? Does the property qualify for BETE? Are municipal depreciation schedules evident and uniformly employed? Is all BETE value incorporated in the tax commitment book, MVR and Tax Rate Calculation Form (including enhanced reimbursement forms when applicable)? Is all qualified property adjusted by the municipal assessment ratio?	Municipal Valuation - 2016		Yes	0 2018 State V		
Do the equipment date(s) of purchase and/or date(s) put in service meet BETE parameters? Is the item description sufficient to reasonably determine eligibility under program guidelines? Does the properly qualify for BETE? Are municipal depreciation schedules evident and uniformly employed? Is all BETE value incorporated in the tax commitment book, MVR and Tax Rate Calculation Form (including enhanced reimbursement forms when applicable)? Is all qualified property adjusted by the municipal assessment ratio?	Are application(s) available for inspection?	0 of 0			6/0	
service meet BETE parameters? Is the item description sufficient to reasonably determine eligibility under program guidelines? Does the property qualify for BETE? Are municipal depreciation schedules evident and uniformly employed? Is all BETE value incorporated in the tax commitment book, MVR and Tax Rate Calculation Form (including enhanced reimbursement forms when applicable)? Is all qualified property adjusted by the municipal assessment ratio?	Are application(s) signed for/approved by the	assessor?				
eligibility under program guidelines? Does the property qualify for BETE? Are municipal depreciation schedules evident and uniformly employed? Is all BETE value incorporated in the tax commitment book, MVR and Tax Rate Calculation Form (including enhanced reimbursement forms when applicable)? Is all qualified property adjusted by the municipal assessment ratio?	Do the equipment date(s) of purchase and/or service meet BETE parameters?	date(s) put in				
Are municipal depreciation schedules evident and uniformly employed? Is all BETE value incorporated in the tax commitment book, MVR and Tax Rate Calculation Form (including enhanced reimbursement forms when applicable)? Is all qualified property adjusted by the municipal assessment ratio?	Is the item description sufficient to reasonably eligibility under program guidelines?	y determine				
Is all BETE value incorporated in the tax commitment book, MVR and Tax Rate Calculation Form (including enhanced reimbursement forms when applicable)? Is all qualified property adjusted by the municipal assessment ratio?	Does the property qualify for BETE?					
MVR and Tax Rate Calculation Form (including enhanced reimbursement forms when applicable)? 8. Is all qualified property adjusted by the municipal assessment ratio?	. Are municipal depreciation schedules evident	t and uniformly employed?				
	MVR and Tax Rate Calculation Form (includi	nmitment book, ng enhanced				
Additional Comments:	. Is all qualified property adjusted by the munic	cipal assessment ratio?				
Additional Comments:						
	Additional Comments:					

Signature: Stephen Lemay

Field Rep.

Property Tax Division

REPORT OF ASSESSMENT REVIEW

Municipality	Stoneham	County	Oxford
I. Valuation System			
	OlDennell & Associatos	Date:	1973
A. Land: Tax Maps by	O'Donnell & Associates \$846/Ac	Undeveloped Lots	\$7,400/Ac
Undeveloped Acreage Road Frontage	By Acre	Water Frontage	\$50,485/1Ac
House lots	\$25,850/1Ac	Other	Keewaydin Lake
	Subdivision Lots Additional		-
B. Buildings: Revaluation By:	Selectman-2008	Computerized Records	Trio
C. I cisonal i topolity.	Assessed? Y/N Is Cert Ratio Applied? Y/N	Y Method Used:	RCNLD
II. Assessment Records / Condition	Website w/VAL data Y/N	Y Web Address	stoneham-maine.com
Valuation Book	Three Ring Binder	Tree Growth Forms	On File
Property Record Cards	Manual & Not Updated	Farm Land Forms	
Veteran Exemption Forms	On File	Open Space Forms	On File
III. Supplements and Abatements			
		Value Supplemented	
Supplements: Number Made Abatements: Number granted	1	Value Abated	(5,060)
(excluding penalties)			
IV. Statistical Information	1		
IV. Statistical Information			05.440
Number of Parcels	1,329	Land Area	25,446
Taxable Acres		Bog/Swamp	141
Population (2010)	236		
V. Assessment Standards]		
Standards Ratio	109.51% =	(2016 Municipal Valuation /20	017 State Valuation)
Assessment Quality: Combined			
Comments or Plans for Compliance:			
VI. Audit Information	1		
	Megan Hamlin Clerk		
Municipal Official providing data:			
Date(s) of Field Audit:	06/26/2017		
VII. Office Review]		
	Recommended by: _	Stephen Lemay	
	Checked by: _	Field Rep	
	Approved by:	Mike Togers	7-11-17
	_	7-19-17 Paren	7-11-17 sted semailed 10-
PTF 303.2 (Rev 11/13)	Copies Mailed: (date) _	, III Correct	-1 -1